

# Black Holes in non-perturbative Quantum Gravity

Alexey Koshelev

*ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China and*

*Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium and Universidade da Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal*

September 5, 2024

Mainly based on arXiv:2404.07925 in collaboration with Anna Tokareva,

past works with Alexei Starobinsky and works in progress

with Yi-Fu Cai, A.Naskar, L.Rachwal, A.Tokareva and my students

## Breakdown of the problem

UV complete gravity – already a challenge for more than a century

- Many attempts, no complete satisfaction yet

## Infinite derivatives

- General considerations and, for example, Asymptotic Safety suggest infinite derivative Lagrangians

## Strings

- Strings and especially string field theory strongly suggest non-local interactions in the form of infinite-derivative form factors

Aref'eva, Barvinskiy, Biswas, Dragovich, Koivisto, Krasnikov, Kuz'min, Mazumdar, Modesto, Percacci, Platania, Saueressig, Sen, Siegel, Shapiro, Tomboulis, Weinberg, Witten, Zwiebach, ...

## Some old references

- **Classic one:**

M. Ostrogradski, Mem. Ac. St. Petersburg, VI 4, 385–517 (1850)

- **Mathematical:**

- H.T. Davis, Ann. of Math. 2, no. 4, 686–714 (1931)

- H.T. Davis, The Theory of Linear Operators from the Standpoint of Differential Equations of Infinite Order (Indiana, the Principia Press, 1936)

- R.D. Carmichael, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 42, 193–218 (1936)

- L. Carleson, Math. Scand. 1, 31–38 (1953)

- **Physical:**

- A. Pais and G.E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. 79, 145–165 (1950)

“Convergence” (renormalizability), “definite norm” (unitarity) and causality – cannot be achieved simultaneously. Fine, but what if violation of microcausality is hidden under the uncertainty scale? de Rham, Tokareva, Tolley, ...

Action to study [1602.08475, 1606.01250, 1711.08864]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{M_P^2 R}{2} - \Lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( R \mathcal{F}_R(\square) R + R_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_2(\square) R^{\mu\nu} + W_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \mathcal{F}_W(\square) W^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \right) \right)$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}_X(\square) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{X_n} \square^n$  with all  $f_{X_n}$  **constants** and we often use  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}_R$

We assume that  $\square$  enters form-factors in a combination  $\square / \mathcal{M}_s^2$  where the mass parameter is the non-locality scale. We put  $\mathcal{M}_s = 1$  for a while.

This is the most general action (still redundant,  $\mathcal{F}_2$  can be zero in  $D = 4$  or a constant in  $D > 4$ ) to study linear perturbations around MSS.

We name it Analytic Infinite Derivative (AID) gravity.

Covariant spin-2 propagator on MSS:

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} h_{\nu\mu}^{\perp} \left( \bar{\square} - \frac{\bar{R}}{6} \right) [\mathcal{P}(\bar{\square})] h^{\perp\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\bar{\square}) = 1 + \frac{2}{M_P^2} \lambda f_{R_0} \bar{R} + \frac{2}{M_P^2} \lambda \mathcal{F}_W \left( \bar{\square} + \frac{\bar{R}}{3} \right) \left( \bar{\square} - \frac{\bar{R}}{3} \right)$$

The Stelle's case corresponds to  $\mathcal{F}_W = 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\bar{\square})_{Stelle} &= 1 + \frac{2}{M_P^2} \lambda f_{R_0} \bar{R} + \frac{2}{M_P^2} \lambda \cdot 1 \cdot \left( \bar{\square} - \frac{\bar{R}}{3} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\lambda}{M_P^2} (\bar{\square} - m^2) \end{aligned}$$

This is an obvious second pole which will be a ghost.

## Physical propagators around Minkowski, AID form-factors:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_s &= \frac{(6\lambda\Box\mathcal{F}(\Box) - M_P^2)(2\lambda\Box\mathcal{F}_W(\Box)/M_P^2 + 1)}{6\lambda(\mathcal{F}(\Box) + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{F}_W(\Box))} \\ &= (\Box - \mu^2)e^{2\sigma(\Box)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_t = \Box(2\lambda\Box\mathcal{F}_W(\Box)/M_P^2 + 1) = \Box e^{2\omega(\Box)}$$

Then, avoiding all odds  $\omega = \sigma + \text{const}$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_W(\Box) = M_P^2 \frac{e^{2\omega(\Box)} - 1}{2\lambda\Box}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\Box) = \frac{M_P^2}{6\lambda\Box} \left[ \left( \frac{\Box}{\mu^2} - 1 \right) e^{2\omega(\Box)} + 1 \right]$$

## What else can AID quadratic action serve for?

- If we just start with the above proposed quadratic in curvature action it can accommodate many interesting solutions without requiring any other more general gravity model.
- For example, any conformally flat metric which satisfies  $\square R = r_1 R$  with constant  $r_1$  is a solution.
- In particular, Starobinsky inflation is an exact solution here.
- Solution representing a ghost-free bouncing scenarios also were found.

## Recap on infinite derivatives

- Graviton propagator in general is modified to

$$\Pi = \frac{e^{2\omega(k^2)}}{k^2}$$

and  $\omega(k^2)$  must be an entire function.

- We thus must have an infinite number of derivatives
- Wick rotation is a problem but it got a resolution thanks to Pius, Sen, and also [\[arxiv:2103.01945\]](#)
- Theory is renormalizable and unitary.
- Full propagator yet to be computed.



## Action again

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{M_P^2 R}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( R \mathcal{F}_1(\square) R + R_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_2(\square) R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \mathcal{F}_4(\square) R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \right) \right)$$

A la Gauss-Bonnet combination  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_4 = \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = -4\mathcal{F}$  does not contribute to a propagator.

And we do not understand why!?

If  $\mathcal{F}_4 \neq 0$  than a Schwarzschild BH is not a solution. Even if  $\mathcal{F}_4 = 0$  we claim it is not!

WHY?

## Equations and BH-s

Typical terms in EOM-s (trace equation):

$$M_P^2 R - 6\lambda \square \mathcal{F}_1(\square) R - 2\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \square^l R \square^{n-l} R + \{8 \text{ terms}\} = -T$$

and  $T$  is the trace of the stress tensor.

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{A(r)} + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

Schwarzschild metric

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{r}$$

## Schwarzschild BH: to be or not to be?

Without the Riemann tensor in equations naively yes.

**Then why not?**

### Regularization

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \rightarrow A(r) = 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \tilde{A}(r, \alpha), \quad \tilde{A} = e^{-\alpha/r^p}$$

such that  $\tilde{A}(\infty) = 1$ ,  $\tilde{A}(r)/r \rightarrow 0$  at zero and  $\tilde{A}(r, 0) = 1$ .

We plug a regularized function in EOM-s and compute  $T$  – the stress tensor trace.

And then we compute  $\int d^3x \sqrt{-g} T = E$  which is the total energy of the object. In static case it is its mass.

To simplify computations we actually compute

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\Delta t} \int_{-\Delta t}^{\Delta t} dt d^3x \sqrt{-g} T$$

## Schwarzschild BH in higher-derivative theories

Computing the total energy we yield ( $W$  is Weyl tensor)

$$\begin{aligned}
 -E = M & \\
 & - 4\pi\lambda \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \left( R \square \mathcal{F}'_1(\square) R + R_{\mu\nu} \square \mathcal{F}'_2(\square) R^{\mu\nu} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + W_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \square \mathcal{F}'_4(\square) W^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathcal{F}(\square) = \text{const}$  (Stelle gravity) then it does not contribute.

Schematically

$$-E = M - 4\pi\lambda(E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + \dots)$$

Here  $E_n$  corresponds to  $\square^n$  and for  $p = 1$

$$E_0 \sim 1/\alpha^3, \quad E_1 \sim 1/\alpha^6 + 1/\alpha^5, \quad \dots$$

$E_0$  comes from  $\mathcal{F}(\square) \sim \log(\square)$

## Convergence analysis

We can deduce that for the total energy

$$\begin{aligned}
 -E &= M \\
 &- 4\pi\lambda M^2 (2\alpha)^{-\frac{3}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \hat{f}_n \beta_n(p) (2\alpha)^{-\frac{2n}{p}} + \{2 \text{ terms}\} \right) \\
 &+ O(M^3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\hat{f}_n = n f_n$  and  $\hat{f}_0$  comes from a log.

Recall that  $\mathcal{F}(\square) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n \square^n$ .

The above series *can* converge if it is alternating with rapidly falling coefficients. Example

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \alpha^k} = e^{-1/\alpha} \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow 0} 0$$

## Convergence analysis continued

By direct computations we can see that  $\beta_n$  grow rapidly. The series for  $E$  will converge for any  $p$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\hat{f}_n|}{e^{qn \log n}} = 0, \text{ for any } q > 0$$

For an entire function its maximal growth rate for large  $z$  is given by  $e^{sz^\rho}$ .  $\rho$  is the order and  $s$  is the type.

Computing  $\beta_n$  we find an acceptable order of  $\mathcal{F}(\square)$  is  $\rho < 3/2$

**However**, from the perspective of QFT for renormalizability and unitarity we need that  $\mathcal{F}(\square)$  grows at most polynomially along the positive real axis and this implies that the order of  $\mathcal{F}(\square)$  is infinite.

## BH results briefly and what about micro-BH?

- Regularization approach is motivated by a collapse consideration. You must be able to form a BH starting with a regular matter distribution.
- Regularization of a Schwarzschild BH can be removed only in 2 and 4 derivative gravity. Any higher (finite) derivative gravity cannot have this solution.
- Infinite derivative case results in infinitely many terms like  $1/\alpha^n$  and in principle a summation over  $n$  may have a good  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  limit.  
**BUT this is NOT compatible with a viable propagator for a UV complete unitary gravity.**
- We thus must accept that a UV complete gravity not only resolves the BH singularity but also limits the micro-BH mass from below to  $\mathcal{M}_s$  which obeys  $M_{inf} \ll \mathcal{M}_s < M_P$

## Conclusions

- A class of analytic infinite derivative (AID) theories has been considered targeting the goal of constructing a UV complete and unitary gravity. These models have clear connection with SFT.
- This gravity model features many nice properties, like native embedding of the Starobinsky inflation, finite Newtonian potential at the origin, presence of a non-singular bounce, etc.
- We argue that these theories disregard singular BH solutions on the example of Schwarzschild BH.



## Future directions

- Other BH solutions (charged, extremal, rotating) should be analyzed.
- BH regularity as a given feature implies that QNM may be modified.
- QNM will not test the interior of a BH as such, but higher derivatives in the action will result in new QNM shapes which is a very interesting way to support the idea that a UV complete gravity resolves BH singularities.
- Mass inflation problem should be addressed

**Thank you for listening!**

Can it be a Non-local scalar field [\[arxiv:2103.01945\]](#)

Consider Analytic Infinite Derivative (AID) scalar field action:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \phi(\square - m^2) f^{-1}(\square) \phi - V(\phi)$$

We demand the form-factor to be an exponent of an entire function  $\sigma(z)$

$$f(z) = \exp(2\sigma(z))$$

This is required to have no extra poles in the perturbative vacuum.

We also normalize it as  $f(0) = f(m^2) = 1$  to preserve the local answers in the IR limit.

## Non-local scalar field, continued

Several arguments to consider the above action:

- It naturally appears in SFT and in  $p$ -adic strings
- It was proven to be unavoidable in order to build unitary and renormalizable diffeomorphism invariant gravity
- This construction can make any arbitrary potential renormalizable
- Surely, some other benefits

Namely, we can adjust the fall rate of the propagator for large momenta by choosing the form-factor. Power-counting convergence requires the fall faster than  $\sim 1/p^2$ .

New excitations – Half of them are ghosts!

Linearization around a background solution  $\phi_0$ :

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \psi \left[ (\square - m^2) f^{-1}(\square) - V''(\phi_0) \right] \psi$$

Let's assume  $V''(\phi_0) = v \approx \text{const} \neq 0$ .

- In general there is an infinite number of new excitations with perhaps complex conjugate masses squared
- The kinetic operator is again an entire function and obeys the Weierstrass decomposition

$$(\square - m^2) f^{-1}(\square) - v^2 \sim \prod_i (\square - \mu_i^2) e^{\sigma v(\square)}$$

- Each  $\mu_i$  corresponds to a mass of a distinct excitation.